Optimal Error Bounds for Convergents of a Family of Continued Fractions

Yair Shapira, Avram Sidi, and Moshe Israeli

Computer Science Department, Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

Submitted by Bruce C. Berndt

Received February 5, 1993

Let \mathscr{F} be the family of continued fractions $K(a_p/1)$, where $a_1 = -g_1$, $a_p = (1 - g_{p-1})g_px_p$, p = 2,3,..., with $0 \le g_p \le 1$, g_p fixed, and $|x_p| \le 1$, p = 2,3,... In this work, we derive upper bounds on the errors in the convergents of $K(a_p/1)$ that are uniform for \mathscr{F} , and optimal in the sense that they are attained by some continued fraction in \mathscr{F} . For the special case $g_i = g < 1/2$, i = 1, 2, ..., this bound turns out to be especially simple, and for $g_i = g = 1/2$, i = 1, 2, ..., the known best form of the theorem of Worpitzki is obtained as an immediate corollary. @ 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathscr{F} be the family of continued fractions $K(a_p/1)$, where

$$K(a_p/1) = \frac{a_1}{1 + \frac{a_2}{1 + \frac{a_3}{1 + \dots}}},$$
(1.1)

with

$$a_{1} = -g_{1}, \qquad a_{p} = (1 - g_{p-1})g_{p}x_{p}, \qquad p = 2, 3, ...;$$

$$0 \le g_{p} \le 1, \qquad g_{p} \text{ fixed}, \qquad p = 1, 2, ...; \qquad |x_{p}| \le 1, \qquad p = 2, 3,$$
(1.2)

Denote the special continued fraction in \mathscr{F} for which $x_p = -1$, p =

2, 3, ..., by $K(b_p/1)$, i.e.,

$$K(b_p/1) = \frac{b_1}{1 + \frac{b_2}{1 + \frac{b_3}{1 + \dots}}},$$
(1.3)

with

$$b_1 = -g_1, \qquad b_p = -(1 - g_{p-1})g_p, \qquad p = 2, 3....$$
 (1.4)

For any integer $m \ge 1$, let us define

$$f_{n}^{(m)} = \frac{a_{m}}{1 + \frac{a_{m+1}}{1 + \frac{a_{m+1}}{1 + \frac{a_{m+n-1}}{1}}}}, \quad n = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$h_{n}^{(m)} = \frac{b_{m}}{1 + \frac{b_{m+1}}{1 + \frac{b_{m+1}}{1 + \frac{b_{m+1}}{1 + \frac{b_{m+n-1}}{1}}}}, \quad n = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$f^{(m)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_{n}^{(m)},$$

$$h^{(m)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} h_{n}^{(m)},$$

$$S_{n} = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{p} \frac{g_{k}}{1 - g_{k}} \quad \text{when } 0 \le g_{p} < 1, \quad p = 1, ..., n,$$

$$S_{n} = +\infty \qquad \text{when } 0 \le g_{p} < 1, \quad p = 1, ..., N - 1,$$

$$g_{N} = 1, \quad \text{and } n \ge N,$$

$$S = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_{n} \quad (\text{possibly } +\infty). \quad (1.5)$$

Note that as soon as $g_{N-1} = 1$ or $g_N = 0$ for some N, we have $a_N = 0$, hence $f_n^{(1)} = f_{N-1}^{(1)} = f^{(1)}$, $h_n^{(1)} = h_{N-1}^{(1)} = h^{(1)}$, and $S_n = S_{N-1} = S$ for n = N, N + 1, ...

In Theorem 1.1 below, we state a fundamental result that is proved in [7, pp. 45–46, Theorem 11.1].

THEOREM 1.1. (i) The $K(a_p/1)$ in \mathscr{F} converge uniformly (in the x_p , p = 2, 3, ...). (ii) $f^{(1)}$ and $f_n^{(1)}$, n = 1, 2, ..., are all in the disk $\{z: |z| \le 1 - 1/S\}$, and $h^{(1)} = 1/S - 1$. (iii) $f^{(1)}$ and $f_n^{(1)}$, n = 1, 2, ..., are also in the disk $\{z: |z + 1/(2 - g_1)| \le (1 - g_1)/(2 - g_1)\}$.

The purpose of the present work is to give an upper bound on $|f^{(1)} - f_n^{(1)}|$ that is (i) independent of the x_p , hence is uniform for \mathcal{F} , and (ii) is optimal in the sense that it is attained by a member of \mathcal{F} , namely, by $K(b_p/1)$.

Theorem 1.2 below is the main result of the present work.

THEOREM 1.2. (i) For any two integers l and $n, l > n \ge 1$, we have

$$|f_l^{(1)} - f_n^{(1)}| \le |h_l^{(1)} - h_n^{(1)}| = \frac{1}{S_n} - \frac{1}{S_l}.$$
(1.6)

(ii) For any integer $n \ge 1$ we have

$$|f^{(1)} - f^{(1)}_n| \le |h^{(1)} - h^{(1)}_n| = \frac{1}{S_n} - \frac{1}{S}.$$
(1.7)

Both bounds in (1.6) and (1.7) are independent of the x_p and are optimal for \mathcal{F} .

The following corollaries are simple consequences of Theorem 1.2, and their proofs are omitted.

COROLLARY 1. In the case $S = +\infty$, we have

$$|f^{(1)} - f^{(1)}_n| \le |h^{(1)} - h^{(1)}_n| = \frac{1}{S_n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (1.8)

COROLLARY 2. (i) In the case $g_i = g$, i = 1, 2, ..., with 0 < g < 1/2, we have

$$|f^{(1)} - f^{(1)}_n| \le |h^{(1)} - h^{(1)}_n| = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha^{n+1}}\right) \alpha^{n+1}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{g}{1-g} \in (0,1). \tag{1.10}$$

(ii) In the case $g_i = g = 1/2$, i = 1, 2, ..., we have

$$|f^{(1)} - f^{(1)}_n| \le |h^{(1)} - h^{(1)}_n| = \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$
 (1.11)

First, \mathscr{T} in part (i) of Corollary 2 is the family of continued fractions $K(a_p/1)$ for which $|a_p| \leq (1-g)g < 1/4$, p = 2, 3, The convergence problem for this family is also considered in [6, p. 118], where a bound of the form $|f^{(1)} - f_n^{(1)}| \leq C\alpha^n$, n = 1, 2, ..., is given, C > 0 being a constant independent of *n*. Clearly, this bound is not optimal. From (1.9), we can also obtain the bound $|f^{(1)} - f_n^{(1)}| \leq [\alpha/(1 + \alpha)]\alpha^n$, n = 1, 2, ..., and our constant $\alpha/(1 + \alpha)$ is smaller than C of [6].

Next, \mathscr{F} in part (ii) of Corollary 2 is the family of continued fractions for which $|a_p| \le 1/4$, $p = 2, 3, \ldots$. The result in (1.11) then is the optimal form of the theorem of Worpitzki. For different proofs of this classical theorem, see [2, 3, 6, 7]. This optimal form of Worpitzki's theorem is not new, however, and is given in [2, p. 513, Problem 2]. Note that (1.11) follows from Corollary 1 by noting that $S_n = n + 1$ when $g_i = 1/2$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. It also follows from (1.9) by letting $\alpha \to 1$ there. (The bound of [6] mentioned in the previous paragraph does not produce any information on rate of convergence or convergence as we let $\alpha \to 1$ there.)

The simplicity of the result in part (i) of Corollary 2 is due to the fact that S_n in (1.5) is a partial sum of a geometric series, hence is known analytically. This observation enables us to obtain simple bounds also for cases more complicated than that treated in Corollary 2. For example, when $g_{i+kq} = g_i \in (0, 1), \ k = 1, 2, \dots, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, q$, for some positive integer q, i.e., when $K(b_p/1)$ is a periodic continued fraction, S turns out to be the sum of q geometric series, and S_n can again be expressed in a simple manner. If we let $\delta = \prod_{k=1}^{q} g_k/(1 - g_k)$ in this case, the following can be shown to hold:

when
$$\delta < 1$$
, $|f^{(1)} - f_n^{(1)}| = O(\delta^{n/q})$ as $n \to \infty$,
when $\delta > 1$, $|f^{(1)} - f_n^{(1)}| = O(\delta^{-n/q})$ as $n \to \infty$, and
when $\delta = 1$, $|f^{(1)} - f_n^{(1)}| = O(n^{-1})$ as $n \to \infty$.

Examples in which S involves series other than geometric can also easily be constructed. For instance, when $g_i = 1/(i + 1)$, i = 1, 2, ..., we have $S_n = \sum_{p=0}^n 1/p!$, S = e, hence (1.7) gives $|f^{(1)} - f_n^{(1)}| =$

O(1/(n + 1)!) as $n \to \infty$. When $g_i = i/(2i + 1)$, i = 1, 2, ..., we have $S_n = \sum_{p=0}^n 1/(p + 1) = H_{n+1}$, the (n + 1)st harmonic number, $S = +\infty$, hence (1.7) gives $|f^{(1)} - f_n^{(1)}| \le 1/H_{n+1} = O(1/\log n)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Finally, the authors have been informed by the referee that the techniques of the present work bear some relation to those used in [1, 4, 5].

2. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

LEMMA 2.1. The convergents $h_n^{(1)}$ are given by

$$h_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{S_n} - 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. The proof of (2.1) can be achieved by induction on n by noting that

$$h_{n+1}^{(1)} = \frac{-g_1}{1 + (1 - g_1)\tilde{h}_n},$$
(2.2)

where

$$\tilde{h}_{n} = \frac{-g_{2}}{1 + \frac{a_{3}}{1 + \frac{a_{4}}{1 + \frac{a_{4}}{1 + \frac{a_{n+1}}{1 +$$

We leave the details to the reader.

By letting $n \to \infty$ in (2.1), we obtain

$$h^{(1)} = \frac{1}{S} - 1 \tag{2.4}$$

that is part of Theorem 1.1.

LEMMA 2.2. Assume $0 \le g_i < 1$, i = 1, 2, ... Then for all $m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$,

$$|f_n^{(m)}| \le -h_n^{(m)} \in [0,1).$$
(2.5)

Proof. First, $h_n^{(m)} \in (-1, 0]$ follows from (2.1) and from $1 \le S_n < \infty$. Obviously, (2.5) holds for n = 1 and all $m \ge 1$. Suppose it holds for some $n \ge 1$ and all *m*. Then

$$|f_{n+1}^{(m)}| = \left|\frac{a_m}{1 + f_n^{(m+1)}}\right| \le \frac{|a_m|}{|1 - |f_n^{(m+1)}||} \le \frac{-b_m}{1 + h_n^{(m+1)}} = -h_{n+1}^{(m)}.$$
 (2.6)

The second inequality in (2.6) follows from the fact that $|a_m| \leq -b_m$ and from the induction hypothesis $|f_n^{(m+1)}| \leq -h_n^{(m+1)} \in [0, 1)$.

LEMMA 2.3. For any integers l and n, $l > n \ge 1$, and $m \ge 1$, there holds

$$f_l^{(m)} - f_n^{(m)} = (-1)^n f_l^{(m)} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{f_{l-k}^{(m+k)}}{1 + f_{n-k}^{(m+k)}},$$
(2.7)

where we define $f_0^{(m)} = 0$ for $m \ge 1$.

Proof. We have

$$f_{l}^{(m)} - f_{n}^{(m)} = \frac{a_{m}}{1 + f_{l-1}^{(m+1)}} - \frac{a_{m}}{1 + f_{n-1}^{(m+1)}}$$
$$= -\frac{f_{l}^{(m)}}{1 + f_{n-1}^{(m+1)}} \left(f_{l-1}^{(m+1)} - f_{n-1}^{(m+1)}\right).$$
(2.8)

Repeating (2.8) n - 1 times, we obtain (2.7).

We can now prove part (i) of Theorem 1.2. Under the assumption $0 \le g_i < 1, i = 1, 2, ...,$ from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we have

$$|f_l^{(m)} - f_n^{(m)}| \le |h_l^{(m)}| \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{|h_{l-k}^{(m+k)}|}{1 + h_{n-k}^{(m+k)}} = |h_l^{(m)} - h_n^{(m)}|.$$
(2.9)

The first part of (1.6) now follows by letting m = 1 in (2.9). The second part follows by invoking Lemma 2.1.

Part (ii) of Theorem 1.2 is obtained by letting $l \rightarrow \infty$ in part (i).

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, let us now consider $g_i < 1$, i = 1, ..., N - 1, and $g_N = 1$. For this case, $f^{(1)} = f_N^{(1)}$ and $h^{(1)} = h_N^{(1)} = -1$, and Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 apply to all $f_n^{(m)}$ and $h_n^{(m)}$ that are determined by $a_1, a_2, ..., a_N$. We leave the details to the reader.

Before closing, we also mention that the proof technique of the present paper also provides an independent proof of convergence for the sequence $\{f_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. This is seen as follows: By the fact that $h^{(1)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} h_n^{(1)}$ exists, $\{h_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. From this and from (1.6), we therefore have that $\{f_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence as well. Consequently, $f^{(1)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n^{(1)}$ exists.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We sincerely thank the referee for his constructive criticism of the earlier versions of this paper. His remarks have been very useful in improving the presentation and in generalizing the original main result to its present form in Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. I. Bondar, "Branched Continued Fractions," Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1986. [In Russian].
- 2. P. Henrici, "Applied and Computational Complex Analysis," Vol. 2, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1977.
- 3. W. B. Jones and W. J. Thron, "Continued Fractions," Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1980.
- 4. Kh. I. Kuchminskaya, Conditions for the convergence of two-dimensional continued fractions with complex elements, *in* "Methods for Studying Differential and Integral Operators," pp. 122–127, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1989. [In Russian]. (See also *Math. Rev.* 92b:40002.)
- 5. K. Kuchmins'ka, Convergence criteria of two-dimensional continued fractions, *in* "Proceedings of Nonlinear Numerical Methods and Rational Approximation, Antwerp, 5–11, Sept. 1993," to appear.
- 6. L. Lorentzen and H. Waadeland, "Continued Fractions with Applications," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- 7. H. S. Wall, "Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions," Chelsea, New York, 1973.