



Full length article

# Asymptotic zero distribution of biorthogonal polynomials<sup>☆</sup>

D.S. Lubinsky<sup>a,\*</sup>, A. Sidi<sup>b</sup>, H. Stahl<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science, Technion-IIT, Haifa 32000, Israel

<sup>c</sup> Fachbereich Mathematik, TFH Berlin, Germany

Available online 22 January 2014

Communicated by Guillermo López Lagomasino

---

## Abstract

Let  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a strictly increasing continuous function. Let  $P_n$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  determined by the biorthogonality conditions

$$\int_0^1 P_n(x) \psi(x)^j dx = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

We study the distribution of zeros of  $P_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and related potential theory.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Biorthogonal polynomials; Zero distribution; Potential theory

---

## 1. Introduction and results

Let  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\psi(0), \psi(1)]$  be a strictly increasing continuous function, with inverse  $\psi^{[-1]}$ . Then we may uniquely determine a monic polynomial  $P_n$  of degree  $n$  by the

---

<sup>☆</sup> Research supported by NSF grant DMS1001182 and US-Israel BSF grant 2008399.

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [lubinsky@math.gatech.edu](mailto:lubinsky@math.gatech.edu) (D.S. Lubinsky), [asidi@cs.technion.ac.il](mailto:asidi@cs.technion.ac.il) (A. Sidi).

biorthogonality conditions

$$\int_0^1 P_n(x)\psi(x)^j dx = \begin{cases} 0, & j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ I_n \neq 0, & j = n. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$P_n$  will have  $n$  simple zeros in  $(0, 1)$ , so we may write

$$P_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_{jn}). \quad (2)$$

The proof of this is the same as for classical orthogonal polynomials. Our goal in this paper is to investigate the zero distribution of  $P_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Accordingly, we define the zero counting measures

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{x_{jn}}, \quad (3)$$

that place mass  $\frac{1}{n}$  at each of the zeros of  $P_n$ , and want to describe the weak limit(s) of  $\mu_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This topic was initiated by the second author, in the course of his investigations on convergence acceleration [8,24], and numerical integration of singular integrands. He considered [21–23]

$$\psi(x) = \log x, \quad x \in (0, 1)$$

and found that the corresponding biorthogonal polynomials are

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{j+1}{n+1}\right)^j x^j.$$

The latter are now often called the *Sidi polynomials*, and one may represent them as a contour integral. Using steepest descent, the strong asymptotics of  $P_n$ , and their zero distribution, were established in [14]. Asymptotics for more general polynomials of this type were analyzed by Elbert [7]. Extensions, asymptotics, and applications in numerical integration, and convergence acceleration have been considered in [15,16,25,26]. Biorthogonal polynomials of a more general form have been studied in several contexts—see [5,10,11]. The sorts of biorthogonal polynomials used in random matrices [3,6,12] are mostly different, although there are some common ideas in the associated potential theory.

Herbert Stahl's interest in this topic arose after he refereed [14]. He and the first author discussed the topic at some length at a conference in honor of Paul Erdős in 1995. This led to a draft paper on zero distribution in the later 1990s, with revisions in 2001, and 2003, and this paper is the partial completion of that work. For the case  $\psi(x) = x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , we presented explicit formulae in [18]. Rodrigues type representations were studied in [17].

Distribution of zeros of polynomials is closely related to potential theory [1,20,28], and accordingly we introduce some potential theoretic concepts. We let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E})$  denote the set of all probability measures with compact support contained in the set  $\mathcal{E}$ . For any positive Borel measure  $\mu$ , we define its classical energy integral

$$I(\mu) = \iint \log \frac{1}{|x-t|} d\mu(x)d\mu(t), \quad (4)$$

and denote its support by  $\text{supp}[\mu]$ . Where appropriate, we consider these concepts for signed measures too. For any set  $\mathcal{E}$  in the plane, its (inner) logarithmic capacity is

$$\text{cap}(\mathcal{E}) = \sup \left\{ e^{-I(\mu)} : \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}) \right\}.$$

We say that a property holds q.e. (quasi-everywhere) if it holds outside a set of capacity 0. We use  $meas$  to denote linear Lebesgue measure 0. For further orientation on potential theory, see for example [13,19,20].

In our setting we need a new energy integral

$$J(\mu) = \iint K(x, t) d\mu(x) d\mu(t), \tag{5}$$

where

$$K(x, t) = \log \frac{1}{|x - t|} + \log \frac{1}{|\psi(x) - \psi(t)|}. \tag{6}$$

In [6], a similar energy integral was considered for  $\psi(t) = e^t$ , but with an external field. The minimal energy corresponding to  $\psi$  is

$$J^*(\psi) = \inf \{ J(\mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \}. \tag{7}$$

Under mild conditions on  $\psi$ , we shall prove that there is a unique probability measure, which we denote by  $\nu_\psi$ , attaining the minimum. For probability measures  $\mu, \nu$ , we define the classical potential

$$U^\mu(x) = \int \log \frac{1}{|x - t|} d\mu(t), \tag{8}$$

the mixed potential

$$W^{\mu, \nu}(x) = \int \log \frac{1}{|x - t|} d\mu(t) + \int \log \frac{1}{|\psi(x) - \psi(t)|} d\nu(t) \tag{9}$$

$$= U^\mu(x) + U^{\nu \circ \psi^{[-1]}} \circ \psi(x), \tag{10}$$

and the  $\psi$  potential

$$W^\mu(x) = W^{\mu, \mu}(x) = \int K(x, t) d\mu(t). \tag{11}$$

We note that potential theory for generalized kernels is an old topic, see for example, Chapter VI in [13]. However, there does not seem to be a comprehensive treatment covering our setting. Our most important restrictions on  $\psi$  are contained in:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\psi(0), \psi(1)]$  be a strictly increasing continuous function, with inverse  $\psi^{[-1]}$ . Assume that  $\psi$  satisfies the following two conditions:

(I)

$$\text{cap}(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{cap}(\psi^{[-1]}(E)) = 0. \tag{12}$$

(II) For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\text{meas}(E) \leq \delta \Rightarrow \text{meas}(\psi^{[-1]}(E)) \leq \varepsilon. \tag{13}$$

Then we say that  $\psi$  preserves smallness of sets.

The conditions (I), (II) are satisfied if  $\psi$  satisfies a local lower Lipschitz condition. By this we mean that we can write  $[0, 1]$  as a countable union of intervals  $[a, b]$  such that in  $[a, b]$ , there exist  $C, \alpha > 0$  depending on  $a, b$ , with

$$|\psi(x) - \psi(t)| \geq C |t - x|^\alpha, \quad x, t \in [a, b].$$

We can apply Theorem 5.3.1 in [19, p. 137] to  $\psi^{-1}$  to deduce (12).

Using classical methods, we shall prove:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\psi(0), \psi(1)]$  be a strictly increasing continuous function that preserves smallness of sets. Define the minimal energy  $J^* = J^*(\psi)$  by (7). Then*

(a)  *$J^*$  is finite and there exists a unique probability measure  $\nu_\psi$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that*

$$J(\nu_\psi) = J^*. \tag{14}$$

(b)

$$W^{\nu_\psi} \geq J^* \quad \text{q.e. in } [0, 1]. \tag{15}$$

*In particular, this is true at each point of continuity of  $W^{\nu_\psi}$ .*

(c)

$$W^{\nu_\psi} \leq J^* \quad \text{in } \text{supp}[\nu_\psi] \tag{16}$$

and

$$W^{\nu_\psi} = J^* \quad \text{q.e. in } \text{supp}[\nu_\psi]. \tag{17}$$

(d)  *$\nu_\psi$  is absolutely continuous with respect to linear Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Moreover, there are constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  depending only on  $\psi$ , such that for all compact  $K \subset [0, 1]$ ,*

$$\nu_\psi(K) \leq \frac{C_1}{|\log \text{cap } K|} \leq \frac{C_2}{|\log \text{meas}(K)|}. \tag{18}$$

(e) *There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that*

$$[0, \varepsilon] \cup [1 - \varepsilon, 1] \subset \text{supp}[\nu_\psi]. \tag{19}$$

Let

$$I_n = \int_0^1 P_n(t)\psi(t)^n dt, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{20}$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\psi(0), \psi(1)]$  be a strictly increasing continuous function that preserves smallness of sets. Let  $\{P_n\}$  be the corresponding biorthogonal polynomials, with zero counting measures  $\{\mu_n\}$ . If*

$$\text{supp}[\nu_\psi] = [0, 1], \tag{21}$$

then the zero counting measures  $\{\mu_n\}$  of  $(P_n)$  satisfy

$$\mu_n \xrightarrow{*} \nu_\psi, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{22}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n^{1/n} = \exp(-J^*). \tag{23}$$

The weak convergence (22) is defined in the usual way:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f(t) d\mu_n(t) = \int_0^1 f(t) d\nu_\psi(t),$$

for every continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We can replace (21) by the more implicit, but more general, assumption that  $\text{supp}[\nu_\psi]$  contains the support of every weak limit of every subsequence of  $(\mu_n)$ . We can at least prove it when the kernel  $K$ , and hence the potential  $W^{\nu_\psi}$ , satisfies a convexity condition:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\psi(0), \psi(1)]$  be a strictly increasing continuous function that preserves smallness of sets. In addition assume that  $\psi$  is twice continuously differentiable in  $(0, 1)$  and either*

(a) *for  $x, t \in (0, 1)$  with  $x \neq t$ ,*

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} K(x, t) > 0, \tag{24}$$

or

(b) *for  $x, t \in (\psi(0), \psi(1))$  with  $x \neq t$ ,*

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ K\left(\psi^{[-1]}(x), \psi^{[-1]}(t)\right) \right] > 0. \tag{25}$$

Then

$$\text{supp}[\nu_\psi] = [0, 1]. \tag{26}$$

**Example.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  and

$$\psi(x) = x^\alpha, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Then either (25) or (26) holds and hence (21) holds. We show this separately for  $\alpha \geq 1$  and for  $\alpha < 1$ . An explicit formula for  $\nu_\psi$  appears in [18, p.292].

Case I  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

We shall show that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4(a) are fulfilled. A straightforward calculation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x, t) &:= (x - t)^2 (\psi(x) - \psi(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} K(x, t) \\ &= (x^\alpha - t^\alpha)^2 + (\alpha x^{\alpha-1})^2 (x - t)^2 - \alpha(\alpha - 1) x^{\alpha-2} (x^\alpha - t^\alpha) (x - t)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $s = tx$ , we see that

$$\Delta(x, t) = x^{2\alpha} H(s),$$

where

$$H(s) := (1 - s^\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 (1 - s)^2 - \alpha (\alpha - 1) (1 - s^\alpha) (1 - s)^2. \tag{27}$$

For  $s > 1$ , all three terms on the right-hand side of (27) are positive, so  $H(s) > 0$ . If  $0 \leq s < 1$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} H(s) &= (1 - s^\alpha)^2 + \alpha (1 - s)^2 \{ \alpha - (\alpha - 1) (1 - s^\alpha) \} \\ &\geq (1 - s^\alpha)^2 + \alpha (1 - s)^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, if  $\alpha > 1$ , we have for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $s \in [0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$ ,

$$\Delta(x, sx) > 0$$

so the hypotheses (24) are fulfilled.

Case II  $\alpha < 1$ .

Here

$$\psi^{[-1]}(x) = x^{1/\alpha}$$

and

$$K \left( \psi^{[-1]}(x), \psi^{[-1]}(t) \right) = \log \frac{1}{|x^{1/\alpha} - t^{1/\alpha}|} + \log \frac{1}{|x - t|},$$

which is exactly the case  $1/\alpha > 1$  treated above, so we see that the hypothesis (25) is fulfilled.

Instead of placing an implicit assumption on the support of  $\nu_\psi$ , we can place an implicit assumption on the zeros of  $\{P_n\}$ , and obtain a unique weak limit:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\psi(0), \psi(1)]$  be a strictly increasing continuous function that preserves smallness of sets. Let  $\mathcal{K} \subset [0, 1]$  be compact. Assume that every weak limit of every subsequence of the zero counting measures  $\{\mu_n\}$  has support  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then there is a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  such that*

$$\mu_n \xrightarrow{*} \mu, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{28}$$

and a unique positive number  $A$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n^{1/n} = A. \tag{29}$$

Here  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to a linear Lebesgue measure, and is the unique solution of the integral equation

$$W^\mu(x) = \text{Constant}, \quad \text{q.e. } x \in \mathcal{K}. \tag{30}$$

Moreover, then

$$W^\mu(x) = \log \frac{1}{A}, \quad \text{q.e. } x \in \mathcal{K}.$$

We note that in [6], a related integral equation to (30) appears. We shall also need the dual polynomials  $Q_n$  such that  $Q_n \circ \psi$  are biorthogonal to powers of  $x$ . Thus we define  $Q_n$  to be a monic polynomial of degree  $n$  determined by the conditions

$$\int_0^1 Q_n \circ \psi(t) t^j dt = 0, \tag{31}$$

$j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . Because of this biorthogonality condition,

$$\int_0^1 Q_n \circ \psi(t) t^n dt = \int_0^1 Q_n \circ \psi(t) P_n(t) dt = \int_0^1 P_n(t) \psi(t)^n dt.$$

That is,

$$I_n = \int_0^1 P_n(t) \psi(t)^n dt = \int_0^1 Q_n \circ \psi(t) t^n dt. \tag{32}$$

The orthogonality conditions ensure that  $Q_n \circ \psi$  has  $n$  distinct zeros  $\{y_{jn}\}$  in  $(0, 1)$ , so we can write

$$Q_n \circ \psi(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\psi(t) - \psi(y_{jn})). \tag{33}$$

Let

$$v_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{y_{jn}}. \tag{34}$$

We shall prove

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\psi(0), \psi(1)]$  be a strictly increasing continuous function that preserves smallness of sets, and assume (21). We have as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$v_n \xrightarrow{*} v_\psi.$$

We also prove the following extremal property for weak subsequential limits of  $\{\mu_n\}$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\psi(0), \psi(1)]$  be a strictly increasing continuous function that preserves smallness of sets. Assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is an infinite subsequence of positive integers such that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  through  $\mathcal{S}$ ,*

$$\mu_n \xrightarrow{*} \mu; \tag{35}$$

$$v_n \xrightarrow{*} v; \tag{36}$$

and

$$I_n^{1/n} \rightarrow A, \tag{37}$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu, v \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ . Then

$$A \leq \exp \left( - \sup_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}([0,1])} \inf_{[0,1]} W^{\mu, \beta} \right) \tag{38}$$

and

$$A \leq \exp \left( - \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}([0,1])} \inf_{[0,1]} W^{\alpha, v} \right). \tag{39}$$

**Remarks.** (a) This extremal property is very close to a characterization of equilibrium measures for external fields. For example, with  $\nu$  as above, let  $Q$  be the external field

$$Q = U^{\nu \circ \psi^{[-1]}} \circ \psi \quad \text{on } [0, 1].$$

Then the second inequality above says

$$A \leq \exp \left( - \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}([0,1])} \inf_{[0,1]} (U^\alpha + Q) \right).$$

This is reminiscent of one characterization of the equilibrium measure for the external field  $Q$  [20, Theorem I.3.1, p. 43].

(b) Herbert Stahl sketched a proof that when  $\psi$  is strictly increasing and piecewise linear, then (21) holds [27]. His expectation was that this and a limiting argument could establish (21) very generally.

(c) There are two principal issues left unresolved in this paper, that seem worthy of further study:

(I) Find general hypotheses for  $\text{supp} [\nu_\psi] = [0, 1]$ .

(II) Find an explicit representation of the solution  $\mu'$  of the integral equation (30), that is of

$$\int_0^1 \log |x - t| \mu'(t) dt + \int_0^1 \log |\psi(x) - \psi(t)| \mu'(t) dt = \text{Constant}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

The usual methods (differentiating, and solving a Cauchy singular integral equation) do not seem to work, even when  $\psi$  is analytic.

Next we show that if  $\psi$  is constant in an interval, then the support of the equilibrium measure should avoid that interval, as do most of the zeros of  $\{P_n\}$ :

**Example.** Let

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 2x, & x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\ 1, & x \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]. \end{cases}$$

Then it is not difficult to see that the equilibrium measure  $\nu_\psi$  must have support  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Indeed if  $\mu$  is a probability measure that has positive measure on  $[a, b] \subset (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , then as

$$\log \frac{1}{|\psi(x) - \psi(t)|} = \infty, \quad x, t \in [a, b],$$

so

$$J(\mu) = \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$J^* = \inf \left[ 2I(\mu) + \log \frac{1}{2} \right],$$



where the inf is now taken over all  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]\right)$ . Then  $\nu_\psi$  is the classical equilibrium measure for  $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ , namely

$$\nu'_\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{x\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right)}}, \quad x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right],$$

and

$$J^* = 2 \log 8 + \log \frac{1}{2} = \log 32.$$

In this case, we can also almost explicitly determine  $P_n$ . The biorthogonality conditions give for  $\pi$  of degree at most  $n - 1$ ,

$$\int_0^{1/2} P_n(x)\pi(2x)dx + \pi(1) \int_{1/2}^1 P_n(x)dx = 0.$$

In particular, this is true for  $\pi \equiv 1$ , so

$$\int_{1/2}^1 P_n(x)dx = - \int_0^{1/2} P_n(x)dx,$$

and we obtain for any  $\pi$  of degree at most  $n - 1$ ,

$$\int_0^{1/2} P_n(x) (\pi(2x) - \pi(1)) dx = 0.$$

Then for every polynomial  $S$  of degree  $\leq n - 2$ ,

$$\int_0^{1/2} P_n(x)S(x) (1 - 2x) dx = 0, \tag{40}$$

which forces  $P_n$  to have at least  $n - 1$  distinct zeros in  $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ . Then every weak limit of every subsequence of  $\{\mu_n\}$  has support in  $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ .

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present a principle of descent, and a lower envelope theorem, and the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#). In Section 3, we prove [Theorems 1.3–1.7](#). Throughout the sequel, we assume that  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\psi(0), \psi(1)]$  is a strictly increasing continuous function that preserves smallness of sets.

We close this section with some extra notation. Define the *companion polynomial* to  $P_n$ , namely

$$R_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - \psi(x_{jn})). \tag{41}$$

It has the property that  $R_n \circ \psi$  has the same zeros as  $P_n$ . Hence

$$P_n(x)R_n \circ \psi(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } [0, 1]. \tag{42}$$

Analogous to  $R_n$ , we define

$$S_n(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n (t - y_{jn}), \tag{43}$$

so that

$$S_n(t)Q_n \circ \psi(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{44}$$

Observe that  $I_n$  of (20) satisfies

$$I_n = \int_0^1 P_n(x)R_n \circ \psi(x)dx = \int_0^1 Q_n \circ \psi(x)S_n(x)dx > 0. \tag{45}$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We begin by noting that for any positive measures  $\alpha, \beta$ ,  $W^{\alpha,\beta}$  is lower semicontinuous, since a potential of any positive measure is, while  $\psi$  and  $\psi^{[-1]}$  are continuous. We start with

**Lemma 2.1** (*The Principle of Descent*). *Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be finite positive Borel measures on  $[0, 1]$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n ([0, 1]) = 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n ([0, 1]).$$

Assume moreover that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &\xrightarrow{*} \alpha; \\ \beta_n &\xrightarrow{*} \beta. \end{aligned}$$

(a) *If  $\{x_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^{\alpha_n, \beta_n}(x_n) \geq W^{\alpha, \beta}(x_0).$$

(b) *If  $\mathcal{K} \subset [0, 1]$  is compact and*

$$W^{\alpha, \beta} \geq \lambda \quad \text{in } \mathcal{K},$$

*then uniformly in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^{\alpha_n, \beta_n}(x) \geq \lambda.$$

**Proof.** (a) By the classical principle of descent,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{\alpha_n}(x_n) \geq U^\alpha(x_0),$$

see for example, [20, Theorem I.6.8, p. 70]. Next, we see from the classical principle of descent and continuity of  $\psi, \psi^{[-1]}$  that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{\beta_n \circ \psi^{[-1]}} \circ \psi(x_n) \geq U^{\beta \circ \psi^{[-1]}} \circ \psi(x_0).$$

Combining these two gives the result.

(b) This follows easily from (a). If (b) fails, we can choose a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $K$  with limit  $x_0 \in K$  such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^{\alpha_n, \beta_n}(x_n) < \lambda \leq W^{\alpha, \beta}(x_0). \quad \square$$

Recall our notation  $W^{\alpha_n} = W^{\alpha_n, \alpha_n}$ . We now establish

**Lemma 2.2** (*Lower Envelope Theorem*). *Assume the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1. Then for q.e.  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} W^{\alpha_n}(x) = W^\alpha(x).$$

**Proof.** We already know from Lemma 2.1 (the principle of descent) that everywhere in  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} W^{\alpha_n}(x) \geq W^\alpha(x).$$

Suppose the result is false. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and a (Borel) set  $S$  of positive capacity such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} W^{\alpha_n}(x) \geq W^\alpha(x) + \varepsilon \quad \text{in } S. \tag{46}$$

Because Borel sets are inner regular, and even more, capacitable, we may assume that  $S$  is compact. Then there exists a probability measure  $\omega$  with support in  $S$  such that  $U^\omega$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{C}$ . See, for example, [20, Corollary I.6.11, p. 74]. As  $\psi$  and  $\psi^{[-1]}$  are continuous,

$$W^\omega = U^\omega + U^{\omega \circ \psi^{[-1]}} \circ \psi$$

is also continuous in  $[0, 1]$ . Then by Fubini’s Theorem and weak convergence

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} \int W^{\alpha_n} d\omega &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} \int W^\omega d\alpha_n \\ &= \int W^\omega d\alpha = \int W^\alpha d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here since  $K(x, t)$  is bounded below in  $[0, 1]$ , we may continue this using (46) and Fatou’s Lemma as

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int (W^\alpha + \varepsilon) d\omega - \varepsilon \\ &\leq \int \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} W^{\alpha_n} \right) d\omega - \varepsilon \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} \int W^{\alpha_n} d\omega - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So we have a contradiction.  $\square$

Next, we show that  $J^*$  is finite, establishing part of Theorem 1.2(a):

**Lemma 2.3.**  *$J^*$  is finite.*

**Proof.** This is really a consequence of Cartan’s Lemma for potentials. Let  $\mu = \text{meas}$  denote Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Then for  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$U^\mu(x) = \int_0^1 \log \frac{1}{|x - t|} dt \leq 2 \int_0^1 \log \frac{1}{s} ds$$

and  $U^\mu$  is continuous. Now consider the unit measure  $\mu \circ \psi^{[-1]}$ . By Cartan’s Lemma [9, p. 366], if  $\varepsilon > 0$  and

$$\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : U^{\mu \circ \psi^{[-1]}}(y) > \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right\},$$

then

$$\mu(\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon) \leq 3e\varepsilon.$$

With a suitably small choice of  $\varepsilon$ , we then have by the hypothesis (13),

$$\mu(\psi^{[-1]}(\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon)) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

With this choice of  $\varepsilon$ , let

$$\mathcal{B} = [0, 1] \setminus \psi^{[-1]}(\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon),$$

a closed set. Let

$$\nu = \frac{\mu|_{\mathcal{B}}}{\mu(\mathcal{B})}.$$

As  $\mu(\mathcal{B}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\nu$  is a well defined probability measure. Moreover,  $x \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \psi(x) \notin \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} U^{\nu \circ \psi^{[-1]}} \circ \psi(x) &= \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{B})} \left[ U^{\mu \circ \psi^{[-1]}} \circ \psi(x) - U^{\mu|_{[0,1] \setminus \mathcal{B} \circ \psi^{[-1]}}} \circ \psi(x) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{B})} \left[ \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log(2\|\psi\|_{L_\infty[0,1]}) \right] =: C_0 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$J^* \leq J(\nu) \leq I(\nu) + C_0 < \infty. \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** (a) We can choose a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  of probability measures on  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(\alpha_n) = J^*.$$

By Helly’s Theorem, we can choose a subsequence converging weakly to some probability measure  $\alpha$  on  $[0, 1]$ , and by relabeling, we may assume that the full sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  converges weakly to  $\alpha$ . Then  $\{\alpha_n \circ \psi^{[-1]}\}$  converges weakly to  $\alpha \circ \psi^{[-1]}$ . By the classical principle of descent

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\alpha_n) \geq I(\alpha)$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\alpha_n \circ \psi^{[-1]}) \geq I(\alpha \circ \psi^{[-1]}),$$

or equivalently,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint \log \frac{1}{|\psi(x) - \psi(t)|} d\alpha_n(x) d\alpha_n(t) \geq \iint \log \frac{1}{|\psi(x) - \psi(t)|} d\alpha(x) d\alpha(t).$$

See, for example, [20, Theorem I.6.8, p. 70]. Combining these, we have

$$J^* = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(\alpha_n) \geq J(\alpha),$$

so  $\alpha$  achieves the inf, and is an equilibrium distribution. If  $\beta$  is another such distribution, then the parallelogram law

$$J\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)\right) + J\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)\right) = \frac{1}{2}(J(\alpha) + J(\beta)) = J^*,$$

gives

$$J\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)\right) = J^* - J\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)\right) \leq 0,$$

as  $\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)$  is also a probability measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Here

$$J\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)\right) = I\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)\right) + I\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha \circ \psi^{[-1]} - \beta \circ \psi^{[-1]})\right),$$

and both terms on the right-hand side are non-negative as both measures inside the energy integrals on the right have total mass 0. See [20, Lemma I.1.8, p. 29]. Hence

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)\right) = 0,$$

so  $\alpha = \beta$  [20, Lemma I.1.8, p. 29].

(b) Suppose the result is false. Then for some large enough integer  $n_0$ ,

$$E_1 := \left\{x \in [0, 1] : W^{v_\psi}(x) \leq J^* - \frac{1}{n_0}\right\},$$

has positive capacity and is compact, since  $W^{v_\psi}$  is lower semi-continuous. But,

$$\int W^{v_\psi} dv_\psi = J(v_\psi) = J^*,$$

so there exists a compact subset  $E_2$  disjoint from  $E_1$  such that

$$W^{v_\psi}(x) > J^* - \frac{1}{2n_0}, \quad x \in E_2,$$

and

$$m = v_\psi(E_2) > 0.$$

Now as  $E_1$  is a compact set of positive capacity, we can find a positive measure  $\sigma$  on  $E_1$ , with support in  $E_1$ , such that  $U^\sigma$  is continuous in the plane [20, Corollary I.6.11, p. 74]. Then  $U^{\sigma \circ \psi^{[-1]}}$  is also continuous in  $[\psi(0), \psi(1)]$ , so  $W^\sigma$  is continuous in  $[0, 1]$ . We may also assume that

$$\sigma(E_1) = m.$$

Define a signed measure  $\sigma_1$  on  $[0, 1]$ , by

$$\sigma_1 := \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{in } E_1 \\ -v_\psi & \text{in } E_2 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Here if  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$J(v_\psi + \eta\sigma_1) = J(v_\psi) + 2\eta \int W^{v_\psi} d\sigma_1 + \eta^2 J(\sigma_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq J(v_\psi) + 2\eta \left\{ \int_{E_1} \left[ J^* - \frac{1}{n_0} \right] d\sigma \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{E_2} \left[ J^* - \frac{1}{2n_0} \right] d(-v_\psi) \right\} + \eta^2 J(\sigma_1) \\
 &= J(v_\psi) + 2\eta m \left\{ \left[ J^* - \frac{1}{n_0} \right] - \left[ J^* - \frac{1}{2n_0} \right] \right\} + \eta^2 J(\sigma_1) \\
 &= J(v_\psi) - \frac{\eta m}{n_0} + \eta^2 J(\sigma_1) < J(v_\psi),
 \end{aligned}$$

for small  $\eta > 0$ . As  $\sigma_1$  has total mass 0, so  $v_\psi + \eta\sigma_1$  has total mass 1, and we see from the identity

$$v_\psi + \eta\sigma_1 = (1 - \eta) v_{\psi|E_2} + v_{\psi|[0,1]\setminus E_2} + \eta\sigma$$

that it is non-negative. Then we have a contradiction to the minimality of  $J(v_\psi)$ .

(c) Let  $x_0 \in \text{supp}[v_\psi]$  and suppose that

$$W^{v_\psi}(x_0) > J^*.$$

By the lower semi-continuity of  $W^{v_\psi}$ , there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and closed  $[a, b]$  containing  $x_0$  such that

$$W^{v_\psi}(x) > J^* + \varepsilon, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

We know too that

$$W^{v_\psi}(x) \geq J^* \quad \text{for q.e. } x \in \text{supp}[v_\psi].$$

Here as  $J^*$  is finite, so  $I(v_\psi)$  must be finite (recall that  $K(x, t)$  is bounded below). Then  $v_\psi$  vanishes on sets of capacity 0, so this last inequality holds  $v_\psi$  a.e. (cf. [19, Theorem 3.2.3, p. 56]). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^* &= J(v_\psi) = \left( \int_a^b + \int_{[0,1]\setminus[a,b]} \right) W^{v_\psi}(x) dv_\psi(x) \\
 &\geq (J^* + \varepsilon) v_\psi([a, b]) + J^* v_\psi([0, 1] \setminus [a, b]) \\
 &= J^* + \varepsilon v_\psi([a, b]),
 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

(d) If  $\text{cap}(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ , then as  $I(v_\psi) < \infty$ , we have also  $v_\psi(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ , and the inequality (18) is immediate. So assume that  $\mathcal{K} \subset \text{supp}[v_\psi]$  has positive capacity, and let  $\omega$  be the equilibrium measure for  $\mathcal{K}$ . We may also assume that  $\mathcal{K} \subset \text{supp}[v_\psi]$ , since

$$v_\psi(\mathcal{K}) = v_\psi(\mathcal{K} \cap \text{supp}[v_\psi]).$$

Now, there exists a positive constant  $C_0$  such that

$$K(x, t) \geq -C_0, \quad x, t \in [0, 1].$$

Then by (c), for  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathcal{K}} K(x, t) dv_\psi(t) &\leq J^* - \int_{[0,1]\setminus\mathcal{K}} K(x, t) dv_\psi(t) \\
 &\leq J^* + C_0
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence for  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} \log \frac{1}{|x - t|} d\nu_{\psi}(t) \leq J^* + C_0 + \log (2\|\psi\|_{L_{\infty}[0,1]}) =: C_1. \tag{47}$$

Here  $C_1$  is independent of  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $x$ . Now

$$U^{\omega}(t) = \log \frac{1}{\text{cap } \mathcal{K}}$$

for q.e.  $t \in \mathcal{K}$  and since  $\nu_{\psi}$  vanishes on sets of capacity zero, this also holds for  $\nu_{\psi}$  a.e.  $t \in \mathcal{K}$ . Integrating (47) with respect to  $d\omega(x)$  and using Fubini’s theorem, gives

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} U^{\omega}(t) d\nu_{\psi}(t) \leq C_1$$

and hence

$$\nu_{\psi}(\mathcal{K}) \log \frac{1}{\text{cap } \mathcal{K}} \leq C_1.$$

This gives the first inequality in (18), and then well known inequalities relating *cap* and *meas* give the second. In particular, that inequality implies the absolute continuity of  $\mu$  with respect to linear Lebesgue measure.

(e) Suppose that  $0 \notin \text{supp}[\nu_{\psi}]$ . Let  $c > 0$  be the closest point in the support of  $\nu_{\psi}$  to 0. Then for  $x \in [0, \frac{c}{2}]$ , and for all  $t \in [c, 1]$ , we have from the strict monotonicity of  $\psi$  that

$$K(x, t) < K(c, t),$$

so for such  $x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\nu_{\psi}}(x) &= \int_c^1 K(x, t) d\nu_{\psi}(t) \\ &< \int_c^1 K(c, t) d\nu_{\psi}(t) = W^{\nu_{\psi}}(c) \leq J^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in spite of the continuity of  $W^{\nu_{\psi}}$  in  $[0, c)$ ,

$$W^{\nu_{\psi}} < J^* \quad \text{in } \left[0, \frac{c}{2}\right],$$

contradicting (b). Absolute continuity of  $\nu_{\psi}$  then shows that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $[0, \varepsilon] \subset \text{supp}[\nu_{\psi}]$ . Similarly we can show that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $[1 - \varepsilon, 1] \subset \text{supp}[\nu_{\psi}]$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorems 1.3–1.7

Recall that  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$  were defined respectively by (3) and (34). Throughout this section, we assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is an infinite subsequence of positive integers such that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  through  $\mathcal{S}$ ,

$$\mu_n \xrightarrow{*} \mu; \tag{48}$$

$$\nu_n \xrightarrow{*} \nu; \tag{49}$$

and

$$I_n^{1/n} \rightarrow A, \tag{50}$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ . In the sequel we make frequent use of identities such as

$$|P_n(x)|^{1/n} = \exp(-U^{\mu_n}(x))$$

and

$$|P_n(x)R_n \circ \psi(x)|^{1/n} = \exp(-W^{\mu_n}(x)).$$

We begin with

**Lemma 3.1** (An Upper Bound for  $W^\mu$ ).

(a) With the hypotheses above, let  $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  and assume that  $[a, b]$  contains two zeros of  $P_n$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then

$$\inf_{[a,b]} W^\mu \leq \log \frac{1}{A}.$$

(b) In particular, if  $x_0$  is a limit of two zeros of  $P_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  through  $\mathcal{S}$ , or  $x_0 \in \text{supp}[\mu]$ , then

$$W^\mu(x_0) \leq \log \frac{1}{A}.$$

**Proof.** (a) We may assume (by passing to a subsequence) that for all  $n \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $P_n$  has two zeros in  $[a, b]$ . Assume on the contrary, that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\inf_{[a,b]} W^\mu > \log \frac{1}{A} + \varepsilon. \tag{51}$$

Let  $x_n, y_n$  be two zeros of  $P_n$  in  $[a, b]$  and let

$$R_n^*(x) = R_n(x) / [(x - \psi(x_n))(x - \psi(y_n))].$$

Then we see that

$$P_n(x)R_n^* \circ \psi(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [0, 1] \setminus [a, b],$$

and

$$0 \leq P_n(x)R_n \circ \psi(x) \leq |P_n(x)R_n^* \circ \psi(x)| (4\|\psi\|_{L^\infty[0,1]})^2, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Moreover, as  $R_n^*$  has the same asymptotic zero distribution as  $R_n$ , we see from Lemma 2.1 and (51) that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} |P_n(x)R_n^* \circ \psi(x)|^{1/n} &\leq \exp(-W^{\mu, \mu}(x)) \\ &= \exp(-W^\mu(x)) \leq Ae^{-\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $[a, b]$ . Then by biorthogonality, and positivity of  $P_n(x)R_n^* \circ \psi(x)$  outside  $[a, b]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \int_{[0,1] \setminus [a,b]} |P_n(x)R_n^* \circ \psi(x)| dx \right)^{1/n} \\ = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} \left| \int_{[a,b]} P_n(x)R_n^* \circ \psi(x) dx \right|^{1/n} \leq Ae^{-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$



Of course Lemma 2.1(b) also gives

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \int_{[a,b]} |P_n(x)R_n^* \circ \psi(x)| dx \right)^{1/n} \leq Ae^{-\varepsilon},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} I_n^{1/n} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} (4\|\psi\|_{L_\infty[0,1]})^{2/n} \left( \int_0^1 |P_n(x)R_n^* \circ \psi(x)| dx \right)^{1/n} \\ &\leq Ae^{-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction gives the result.

(b) This follows from (a), and lower semicontinuity of  $W^\mu$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** (A Lower Bound for  $W^\mu$ ). *At each point of continuity of  $W^\mu$  in  $[0, 1]$ , we have*

$$W^\mu \geq \log \frac{1}{A}. \tag{52}$$

In particular, this inequality holds q.e. in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $a \in [0, 1]$  is a point of continuity of  $W^\mu$ , but for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$W^\mu(a) \leq \log \frac{1}{A} - 2\varepsilon.$$

Then there exists an interval  $[a, b]$  containing  $a$ , such that

$$W^\mu(x) \leq \log \frac{1}{A} - \varepsilon, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

By the lower envelope theorem (Lemma 2.2)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} (P_n(x)R_n \circ \psi(x))^{1/n} = \exp \left( - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} W^{\mu_n}(x) \right) = \exp(-W^\mu(x)) \geq Ae^\varepsilon$$

for q.e.  $x \in [a, b]$ . Let

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \left\{ x \in [a, b] : (P_n(x)R_n \circ \psi(x))^{1/n} \geq Ae^{\varepsilon/2} \right\}.$$

Then for each  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\bigcup_{n=m}^\infty \mathcal{T}_n$$

contains q.e.  $x \in [a, b]$ , so has linear Lebesgue measure  $b - a$ . Then for infinitely many  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_n$  has linear Lebesgue measure at least  $n^{-2}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^{1/n} &\geq \left( \int_{\mathcal{T}_n} P_n(x)R_n \circ \psi(x) dx \right)^{1/n} \\ &\geq n^{-2/n} Ae^{\varepsilon/2} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$A = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} I_n^{1/n} \geq Ae^{\varepsilon/2},$$

a contradiction.

Finally, we note that any logarithmic potential is continuous q.e. [13, p. 185], so  $U^\mu$  and  $U^{\mu \circ \psi^{[-1]}}$  are continuous q.e. Our hypothesis that  $\psi^{[-1]}(E)$  has capacity zero whenever  $E$  does ensures that  $U^{\mu \circ \psi^{[-1]}} \circ \psi$  is continuous q.e. also. Hence  $W^\mu$  is continuous q.e. and so (52) holds q.e. in  $[0, 1]$ .  $\square$

Next, we establish lower and upper bounds for  $A$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** (a) *There exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  depending only on  $\psi$  (and not on the subsequence  $\mathcal{S}$  above) such that*

$$C_1 \geq A \geq C_2. \tag{53}$$

(b) *In particular,*

$$I(\mu) < \infty.$$

(c)

$$J(\mu) = \log \frac{1}{A} \tag{54}$$

and

$$W^\mu = \log \frac{1}{A} \text{ q.e. and a.e. } (\mu) \text{ in } \text{supp}[\mu]. \tag{55}$$

(d)  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to linear Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Moreover, there are constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  depending only on  $\psi$ , and not on  $\mathcal{S}$ , such that for all compact  $\mathcal{K} \subset [0, 1]$ ,

$$\mu(\mathcal{K}) \leq \frac{C_1}{|\log \text{cap } \mathcal{K}|} \leq \frac{C_2}{|\log \text{meas}(\mathcal{K})|}.$$

**Proof.** (a) Firstly as all zeros of  $P_n$  and  $R_n \circ \psi$  lie in  $[0, 1]$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= \int_0^1 P_n(x) R_n \circ \psi(x) dx \\ &\leq (\text{diam } \psi[0, 1])^n. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\text{diam}$  denotes the diameter of a set. So

$$A \leq \text{diam } \psi[0, 1].$$

In the other direction, we use Cartan’s Lemma for polynomials [2, p. 175], [4], [9, p. 366]. This asserts that if  $\delta > 0$ , then

$$|R_n(x)| \geq \left(\frac{\delta}{4e}\right)^n$$

outside a set  $\mathcal{E}$  of linear Lebesgue measure at most  $\delta$ . Then

$$|R_n \circ \psi(x)| \geq \left(\frac{\delta}{4e}\right)^n, \quad x \in [0, 1] \setminus \psi^{[-1]}(\mathcal{E}).$$

By our hypothesis (13), we may choose  $\delta$  so small that

$$\text{meas}(\mathcal{E}) \leq \delta \Rightarrow \text{meas}(\psi^{[-1]}(\mathcal{E})) \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Next, Cartan's Lemma also shows that

$$|P_n(x)| \geq \left(\frac{1}{16e}\right)^n, \quad x \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{F},$$

where

$$\text{meas}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Then

$$P_n(x)R_n \circ \psi(x) \geq \left(\frac{\delta}{64e^2}\right)^n, \quad x \in [0, 1] \setminus (\psi^{[-1]}(\mathcal{E}) \cup \mathcal{F})$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &\geq \int_{[0,1] \setminus (\psi^{[-1]}(\mathcal{E}) \cup \mathcal{F})} P_n(x)R_n \circ \psi(x) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\delta}{64e^2}\right)^n \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A \geq \frac{\delta}{64e^2}.$$

(b) Since for  $x, t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\log \frac{1}{|\psi(x) - \psi(t)|} \geq \log \frac{1}{2 \text{diam } \psi[0, 1]} > -\infty,$$

so for  $x \in \text{supp}[\mu]$ , Lemma 3.1(b) gives

$$\log \frac{1}{A} \geq W^\mu(x) \geq U^\mu(x) + \log \frac{1}{2 \text{diam } \psi[0, 1]}.$$

Then

$$I(u) \leq \log \frac{1}{A} - \log \frac{1}{2 \text{diam } \psi[0, 1]}.$$

(c) As  $\mu$  has finite energy, it vanishes on sets of capacity zero. Then combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,

$$W^\mu = \log \frac{1}{A} \quad \text{both q.e. and a.e. } (\mu) \text{ in } \text{supp}[\mu].$$

Then the first assertion (54) also follows.

(d) This is almost identical to that of Theorem 1.2(d), following from the fact that

$$W^\mu \leq \log \frac{1}{A} \quad \text{in } \text{supp}[\mu]. \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Assume that  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mu$  and  $A$  are as in the beginning of this section. Assume that  $\mathcal{S}^\#, \mu^\#, A^\#$  satisfy analogous hypotheses. We shall show that

$$A = A^\# \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \mu^\#.$$

Our hypothesis on the zeros shows that

$$\text{supp} [\mu] = \text{supp} [\mu^\#] = \mathcal{K}.$$

Then Lemma 3.3 shows that

$$W^\mu = \log \frac{1}{A} \quad \text{q.e. in } \mathcal{K}$$

and

$$W^{\mu^\#} = \log \frac{1}{A^\#} \quad \text{q.e. in } \mathcal{K}.$$

Since  $I(\mu)$  and  $I(\mu^\#)$  are finite by Lemma 3.3, these last statements also hold  $\mu$  a.e. and  $\mu^\#$  a.e. in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then

$$\log \frac{1}{A} = \int W^\mu d\mu^\# = \int W^{\mu^\#} d\mu = \log \frac{1}{A^\#}.$$

It follows that there is a unique number  $A$  that is the limit of  $I_n^{1/n}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Next,

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mu - \mu^\#) &= J(\mu) + J(\mu^\#) - 2 \int W^\mu d\mu^\# \\ &= \log \frac{1}{A} + \log \frac{1}{A} - 2 \log \frac{1}{A} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As in Theorem 1.2(a), this then gives

$$\mu = \mu^\#.$$

This proof also shows that  $\mu$  is the unique solution of the integral equation

$$W^\mu = C \quad \text{q.e. in } \mathcal{K}. \quad \square$$

We turn to the

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\mu$  be a weak limit of some subsequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{S}}$  of  $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . We may also assume that (50) holds. From Lemma 3.3,  $\mu$  has finite logarithmic energy, and from Lemma 3.2,

$$W^\mu \geq \log \frac{1}{A} \quad \text{q.e. in } [0, 1].$$

Moreover, by Theorem 1.2(c) and our hypothesis (21),

$$W^{\nu_\psi} = J^* \quad \text{q.e. in } [0, 1].$$

Then the last relations also hold  $\mu$  a.e. and  $\nu_\psi$  a.e., so

$$J^* = \int W^{\nu_\psi} d\mu = \int W^\mu d\nu_\psi \geq \log \frac{1}{A}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.3(c),

$$W^\mu = \log \frac{1}{A} \quad \mu \text{ a.e. in } \text{supp} [\mu]$$

so

$$J(\mu) = \int W^\mu d\mu = \log \frac{1}{A} \leq J^*.$$

Then necessarily

$$\log \frac{1}{A} = J(\mu) = J^*$$

and

$$\mu = \nu_\psi. \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Assume first that  $\psi''$  is continuous in  $(0, 1)$  and that for each  $x, t \in [0, 1]$  with  $x \neq t$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} K(x, t) > 0,$$

but that the support is not all of  $[0, 1]$ . We already know that  $[0, \varepsilon] \cup [1 - \varepsilon, 1] \subset \text{supp} [\nu_\psi]$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exist  $0 < a < b < 1$  such that

$$(a, b) \cap \text{supp} [\nu_\psi] = \emptyset. \tag{56}$$

We may assume that both

$$a, b \in \text{supp} [\nu_\psi]. \tag{57}$$

Then by Theorem 1.2(c),

$$W^{\nu_\psi}(a) \leq J^* \quad \text{and} \quad W^{\nu_\psi}(b) \leq J^*.$$

But in  $(a, b)$ , which lies outside the support of  $\mu$ ,  $W^\mu$  will be twice continuously differentiable, and by our hypothesis,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W^{\nu_\psi}(x) = \int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} K(x, t) d\nu_\psi(t) > 0.$$

The convexity of  $W^{\nu_\psi}$  forces in some  $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$

$$W^\mu < J^*.$$

This contradicts Theorem 1.2(b).

Next, suppose that for  $x, t \in (\psi(0), \psi(1))$  with  $x \neq t$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ K\left(\psi^{[-1]}(x), \psi^{[-1]}(t)\right) \right] > 0.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\nu_\psi} \circ \psi^{[-1]}(x) &= \int K\left(\psi^{[-1]}(x), t\right) d\nu_\psi(t) \\ &= \int K\left(\psi^{[-1]}(x), \psi^{[-1]}(s)\right) d\nu_\psi \circ \psi^{[-1]}(s). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$W^{\nu_\psi} \circ \psi^{[-1]}(x) \leq J^* \quad \text{if } x \in \psi(\text{supp}[\nu_\psi])$$

and at each point of continuity of  $W^{\nu_\psi} \circ \psi^{[-1]}$ , [Theorem 1.2\(b\)](#) gives

$$W^{\nu_\psi} \circ \psi^{[-1]}(x) \geq J^*.$$

We also see that for  $x \in [\psi(0), \psi(1)] \setminus \psi(\text{supp}[\nu_\psi])$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [W^{\nu_\psi} \circ \psi^{[-1]}(x)] = \int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [K(\psi^{[-1]}(x), \psi^{[-1]}(s))] d\nu_\psi \circ \psi^{[-1]}(s) > 0.$$

If  $0 < a < b < 1$  and [\(56\)](#), [\(57\)](#) hold, then by [Theorem 1.2\(c\)](#),

$$W^{\nu_\psi} \circ \psi^{[-1]}(\psi(a)) \leq J^* \quad \text{and} \quad W^{\nu_\psi} \circ \psi^{[-1]}(\psi(b)) \leq J^*$$

so in some interval

$$(c, d) \subset (\psi(a), \psi(b)),$$

the convexity gives

$$W^{\nu_\psi} \circ \psi^{[-1]} < J^*.$$

But then

$$W^{\nu_\psi} < J^* \quad \text{in } (\psi(c), \psi(d)),$$

contradicting [Theorem 1.2\(b\)](#).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** Recall from [\(45\)](#) that

$$I_n = \int_0^1 S_n Q_n \circ \psi$$

and

$$|S_n(x) Q_n \circ \psi(x)|^{1/n} = \exp(-W^{\nu_n}(x)).$$

Then much as in the proof of [Lemmas 3.1, 3.2](#), under the hypotheses [\(48\)–\(50\)](#), we obtain

$$W^\nu \leq \log \frac{1}{A} \quad \text{in } \text{supp}[\nu]$$

and

$$W^\nu \geq \log \frac{1}{A} \quad \text{q.e. in } [0, 1],$$

in particular at every point of continuity of  $W^\nu$ . Then the proof of [Theorem 1.3](#) shows that  $\nu = \nu_\psi$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

We next prove an inequality for  $I_n$ , assuming the hypotheses [\(35\)–\(36\)](#). Below, if  $\alpha, \beta$  are probability measures on  $[0, 1]$ , we set

$$m_{\alpha, \beta} := \inf_{[0, 1]} W^{\alpha, \beta}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.** Let  $\beta$  be a probability measure on  $[0, 1]$ . By orthogonality, for any monic polynomial  $\Pi_n$  of degree  $n$ , we have

$$I_n = \int_0^1 P_n(x) \Pi_n \circ \psi(x) dx.$$

Given a probability measure on  $[0, 1]$ , we may choose a sequence of polynomials  $\Pi_n$  such that  $\Pi_n$  has  $n$  simple zeros in  $[\psi(0), \psi(1)]$ , and the corresponding zero counting measures converge weakly to  $\beta \circ \psi^{[-1]}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . (This follows easily as pure jump measures are dense in the set of probability measures.) As

$$W^{\mu, \beta} \geq m_{\mu, \beta} \text{ in the closed set } [0, 1],$$

we obtain, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} |P_n(x) \Pi_n \circ \psi(x)|^{1/n} \leq \exp(-m_{\mu, \beta}),$$

uniformly in  $[0, 1]$ . Then

$$A = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} I_n^{1/n} \leq \exp(-m_{\mu, \beta}).$$

Taking sup's over all such  $\beta$  gives (38). The other relation follows similarly, because of the duality identity (32).  $\square$

## References

- [1] V.V. Andrievskii, H.P. Blatt, *Discrepancy of Signed Measures and Polynomial Approximation*, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [2] G.A. Baker Jr., *Essentials of Padé Approximants*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [3] A. Borodin, Biorthogonal ensembles, *Nuclear Phys. B* 536 (1999) 704–732.
- [4] P. Borwein, T. Erdelyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [5] C. Brezinski, *Biorthogonality and its Applications to Numerical Analysis*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992.
- [6] T. Claeys, D. Wang, Random matrices with equispaced external source. Manuscript.
- [7] C. Elbert, Strong asymptotics of the generating polynomials of the Stirling numbers of the second kind, *J. Approx. Theory* 109 (2001) 198–217.
- [8] W. Ford, A. Sidi, An algorithm for a generalization of the Richardson extrapolation process, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 24 (1987) 1212–1232.
- [9] W.K. Hayman, *Subharmonic Functions*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, London, 1989.
- [10] A. Iserles, S.P. Norsett, Bi-orthogonality and zeros of transformed polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 19 (1987) 39–45.
- [11] A. Iserles, S.P. Norsett, E.B. Saff, On transformations and zeros of polynomials, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 21 (1991) 331–357.
- [12] A. Kuijlaars, K.T.R. McLaughlin, A Riemann–Hilbert problem for biorthogonal polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 178 (2005) 313–320.
- [13] N.S. Landkof, *Foundations of Modern Potential Theory*, Springer, 1972.
- [14] D.S. Lubinsky, A. Sidi, Strong asymptotics for polynomials biorthogonal to powers of  $\log x$ , *Analysis* 14 (1994) 341–379.
- [15] D.S. Lubinsky, A. Sidi, Zero distribution of composite polynomials and polynomials biorthogonal to exponentials, *Constr. Approx.* 28 (2008) 343–371.
- [16] D.S. Lubinsky, A. Sidi, Polynomials biorthogonal to dilations of measures and their asymptotics, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 397 (2013) 91–108.
- [17] D.S. Lubinsky, I. Soran, Weights whose biorthogonal polynomials admit a Rodrigues formula, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 324 (2006) 805–819.
- [18] D.S. Lubinsky, H. Stahl, Some explicit biorthogonal polynomials, in: C.K. Chui, M. Neamtu, L.L. Schumaker (Eds.), *Approximation Theory XI*, Nashboro Press, Brentwood, TN, 2005, pp. 279–285.

- [19] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [20] E.B. Saff, V. Totik, *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields*, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [21] A. Sidi, Numerical quadrature and non-linear sequence transformations: unified rules for efficient computation of integrals with algebraic and logarithmic endpoint singularities, *Math. Comp.* 35 (1980) 851–874.
- [22] A. Sidi, Numerical quadrature for some infinite range integrals, *Math. Comp.* 38 (1982) 127–142.
- [23] A. Sidi, Problems 5–8, in: H. Brass, G. Hämmerlin (Eds.), *Numerical Integration III*, Birkhäuser, Berlin, 1988, pp. 321–325.
- [24] A. Sidi, *Practical Extrapolation Methods*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [25] A. Sidi, D.S. Lubinsky, On the zeros of some polynomials that arise in numerical quadrature and convergence acceleration, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 20 (1983) 589–598.
- [26] A. Sidi, D.S. Lubinsky, Biorthogonal polynomials and numerical integration formulas for infinite intervals, *J. Numer. Anal. Ind. Appl. Math.* 2 (2007) 209–226.
- [27] H. Stahl, *Handwritten Notes*, 2003.
- [28] H. Stahl, V. Totik, *General Orthogonal Polynomials*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.